

Top 124 Select Equinoxe Publications since 2018

May 15, 2026

Xu, J. et al. Utilization Trends of the ExactechGPS[®] ComputerAssisted Navigation System in Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES. 2025.

Hao, K. et al. Exactech Equinoxe Anatomic Versus Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty For Primary Osteoarthritis with an Intact Rotator Cuff in Patients with No Glenoid Deformity. JSES. 2025.

Roche, C. et al. Analysis of Patients with Differing ShortTerm Rates of Improvement and Long-term Rates of Decline in Range of Motion and After Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES International. In press.

Mandalia, K. et al. Clinical Outcomes Validate RAND/UCLA Appropriateness Criteria Algorithm for Anatomic TSA to Streamline the Clinical Decision-making Process. BJJ. 2024. Dec 1;106-B(12):1451-1460.

Hao, K et al. Thresholds for diminishing returns in postoperative range of motion after total shoulder arthroplasty. JSES. 2024.

Tobin, J. et al. Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Revised to Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes Compared to Primary Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES. 2024.

Jenkins, S. et al. Comparing Reverse TSA for Fracture versus Degenerative Conditions: Five-Year Minimum Follow-Up. JSES. 2024.

Hao, K. et al. Pain Relief Survivorship: A Comparison of Exactech Equinoxe Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty for Primary Osteoarthritis. JSES. 2024.

Lorentz, S. et al. Do Constrained Liners Provide Any Benefit? A Matched Retrospective Study. JSES. 2024.

Hao, K. et al. Does the Relationship Between Preoperative Function and Achievement of Clinically Important Benchmarks of Success After Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Depend on Outcome Assessment Design? CORR. 2024.

Parada, S. et al. Risk Factors for Rotator Cuff Tears and Aseptic Glenoid Loosening After Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES. 2024.

Levitt, W. et al. Does matching glenosphere size to patient height improve outcomes following reverse total shoulder arthroplasty? Shoulder and Elbow. 2024.

Simovitch, R. et al. Stratification of the Minimal Clinically Important Difference, Substantial Clinical Benefit, and Patient Acceptable Symptomatic State after Total Shoulder Arthroplasty by Implant Type,

Preoperative Diagnosis, and Sex. JSES. 2024.

Schell, L. et al. Clinical Outcomes Based on Planned Glenoid Baseplate Retroversion in Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES. 2024.

Levin, J. et al. Utility of Superior Augments in Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty without Significant Glenoid Deformity. JSES. 2024.

Hao, K. et al. Prognostic Value of the Favard Classification for Patients Before and After Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty Performed for Rotator Cuff Tear Arthropathy. Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES. 2024.

Rajabzadeh-Oghaz H. et al. Impact of Deltoid Computer Tomography Image Data on the Accuracy of Machine Learning Predictions of Clinical Outcomes after Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. J Clin Med. 2024.

Kulkarni, R. et al. Fracture vs. Standard Stem for Proximal Humerus Fractures Using Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES. 2024.

Schoch, B. et al. Planned Glenoid Implant Utilization: A Comparison of Preoperative Planning with and Without Computer-Assisted Navigation. JAAOS. 2024.

Hao, K. et al. Anatomic Versus Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty for Primary Osteoarthritis With an Intact Rotator Cuff: A Midterm Comparison of Early Top Performers. JAAOS. 2024.

Youderian, A. et al. Two-year clinical outcomes and complication rates in anatomic and reverse shoulder arthroplasty implanted with ExactechGPS[®] intraoperative navigation. JSES. 2023.

Hao et al. Do patients with poor early outcomes after reverse total shoulder arthroplasty ultimately improve? JSES. 2023.

Tramer J. et al. Comparison of humeral head resurfacing versus stemless humeral components in anatomic total shoulder arthroplasty: a multicenter investigation with minimum two-year follow-up. Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES. 2023.

Reeves, J. et al. Implications of Humeral Short Stem Diametral Sizing on Implant Stability. JSES International. 2023.

Allen C. et al. Evaluating the Fairness and Accuracy of Machine Learning Based Predictions of Clinical Outcomes after Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES. 2023.

Top 124 Select Equinoxe Publications since 2018

May 15, 2026

Simovitch, R. et al. Prognostic Value of the Walch Classification for Patients Before and After Shoulder Arthroplasty Performed for Osteoarthritis with An Intact Rotator Cuff. JSES. 2023.

Mahylis, J. et al. Anatomic versus Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty with glenoid retroversion of at least 15 degrees in rotator cuff intact patients: A comparison of short results. Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES. 2023.

Larose, G. et al. Two-year minimum survivorship and radiographic analysis of a press-fit short humeral stem for total shoulder arthroplasty. JSES International. 2023.

Simmons, C. et al. Initial clinical experience with a predictive clinical decision support tool for anatomic and reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery & Traumatology. 2023.

Parsons, M. et al. Impact of Accumulating Risk Factors on the Incidence of Dislocation After Primary Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Using a Medial Glenoid Lateral Humerus Onlay Prosthesis. JSES. 2023.

Friedman, RJ. et al. Longitudinal Comparison of Long-term Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes for Cemented Keel, Cemented Peg, and Hybrid Cage Glenoids with Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Using the Same Humeral Stem. Bone and Joint Journal. 2023.

Srinivasan, RC. et al. Two-Year Outcomes of the Reverse Humeral Reconstruction Prosthesis. JSES. 2023.

Roche, C. et al. Comparison of Survivorship and Failure Modes Between Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Across Multiple Government Joint Registries for a Single Platform Shoulder System. NYU HJD Bulletin. 2023.

Hao, KA et al. Quantifying Success After Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: the Minimal Clinically Important Percentage of Maximal Possible Improvement. JSES. 2023.

Schell, L. et al. Aseptic Glenoid Baseplate Loosening after Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty with a Single Prosthesis. JSES. 2023.

Roche, C. et al. Impact of Accumulating Risk Factors on the Acromial and Scapular Fracture Rate after Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty with a Medialized Glenoid/Lateralized Humerus Onlay Prosthesis. JSES. 2023.

Roche, C. et al. Longitudinal Analysis of Shoulder Arthroplasty Utilization, Clinical Outcomes, and

Value: a Comparative Assessment of Changes in Improvement Over 15 Years with a Single Platform Shoulder Prosthesis. JSES. 2023.

Kida, H. et al. Implications of navigation system use for glenoid component placement in reverse shoulder arthroplasty. Scientific Reports. 2022.

Magone, K. et al. Short-Term Radiographic Analysis of a Stemless Humeral Component for Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES International. 2022.

Larose, G. et al. High Intraoperative Accuracy and Low Complication Rate for Computer Assisted Navigation of the Glenoid in Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES. 2022.

Tavakoli A. et al. Humeral short stem varus-valgus alignment affects bone stress. J Orthop Res. 2022.

Simmons, C. et al. Surgeon Confidence in Planning Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Improves After Consulting Clinical Decision Support Tool. European Journal of Orthopaedic Surgery & Traumatology. 2022.

Colasanti, C et al. Impact of cervical spine pathology on outcomes after total shoulder arthroplasty. JSES. 2022.

Marigi, EM. et al. Equinoxe Exactech Anatomic versus Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty for Primary Osteoarthritis: Case Controlled Comparisons using the Machine Learning Derived Shoulder Arthroplasty Score. JSES. 2022.

Colasanti, C. et al. Augmented Baseplates Yield Optimum Outcomes When Compared to Bone Graft Augmentation for Managing Glenoid Deformity During Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty—A Retrospective Comparative Study. JSES. 2022.

Labrum, J. et al. Stemless, short and standard humeral stems in total shoulder arthroplasty: Is there is difference in intraoperative measures, pain, and outcomes in the short term? Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES. 2022.

King, J. et al. The Shoulder Arthroplasty Smart Score Correlates Well with Legacy Outcome Scores without a Ceiling Effect. JAAOS. 2022.

Neel, G. et al. Prospective Observational Study of Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Utilizing a Single Implant System With Long-Term Follow-Up. Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES. 2022.

Top 124 Select Equinoxe Publications since 2018

May 15, 2026

Kuhn, M. et al. Relationship between the prosthesis scapular neck angle and clinical outcomes in reverse shoulder arthroplasty. *Shoulder & Elbow*. 2022.

Eichinger, J. et al. Assessing Glenoid Arthroplasty Component Positioning for Surgeons Utilizing Surgical Planning Software. *Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES*. 2022.

Holzgrefe, R. et al. Early clinical outcomes following navigation-assisted baseplate fixation in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: a matched cohort study. *JSES*. 2022.

Roche CP. Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty Biomechanics. *Journal of Functional Morphology and Kinesiology*. 2022; 7(1):13.

Schoch, B. et al. Characteristics of Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Patients Who Achieve Ceiling Scores with 3 Common Patient Reported Outcome Measures. *JSES*. 2022.

Goodloe, J. et al. Comparison of press-fit vs. peripheral cemented hybrid glenoid components in anatomic total shoulder arthroplasty: a minimum 5-year followup. *JSES International*. 2022.

Luthringer, T. et al. Anatomic versus Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty for Posttraumatic Sequelae of Operatively and Nonoperatively Treated Proximal Humerus Fractures. *Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES*. 2022.

Suttmiller, A. et al. Side-to-side differences in post-operative function and patient satisfaction after bilateral total shoulder arthroplasties. *JSES*. 2022.

Friedman, R. et al. Comparison of Reverse and Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty in Patients with An Intact Rotator Cuff and No Previous Surgery. *JAAOS*. 2022.

Rohman, E. et al. Factors Associated with Improvement or Loss of Internal Rotation After Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty. *JSES*. 2022.

Le, J. et al. Risk Factors for Instability After Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty. *Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES*. 2022.

Levin, J. et al. Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty with and without Baseplate Wedge Augmentation in the Setting of Glenoid Deformity and Rotator Cuff Deficiency – A Multicenter Investigation. *JSES*. 2022.

Kumar, V. et al. Using Machine Learning to Predict

Internal Rotation after Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *JSES*. 2021.

Marigi, M. et al. Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty after Prior Rotator Cuff Repair: A Matched Cohort Analysis. *JAAOS*. 2021.

Parr, TE. et al. Assessment of glenoid baseplate initial micromotion and fixation strength in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty designs using a direct shear force methodology. *Shoulder and Elbow*. 2021.

Kumar, V. et al. Development of a Predictive Model for a Machine Learning Derived Shoulder Arthroplasty Clinical Outcome Score. *Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES*. 2021.

Harries, L. et al. Effect of subscapularis repair in patients with an intact rotator cuff undergoing reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. *Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES*. 2021.

Schoch, B. et al. Deltoid Fatigue Part 2: A Longitudinal Assessment of Anatomic Shoulder Arthroplasty Over Time. *JSES*. 2021.

Reid, J. et al. Variability and Reliability of 2 Dimensional Versus 3 Dimensional Glenoid Version Measurements with 3 Dimensional Preoperative Planning Software. *JSES*. 2021.

Gulotta, L. et al. Clinical Outcomes of Augmented rTSA Glenoid Baseplates. *Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES*. 2021.

Wang, A. et al. Intraoperative Joint Load Evaluation of Shoulder Postures After Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: A Cadaveric Study Using a Humeral Trial Sensor. *Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES*. 2021.

Sabesan VJ. et al. Does acromion anatomy affect the risk of acromion stress fracture after reverse shoulder arthroplasty. *Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES*. 2021.

Reid, J. et al. Effects of Obesity on Clinical and Functional Outcomes Following Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *JSES*. 2021.

Kumar, V. et al. Using Machine Learning to Predict Clinical Outcomes After Shoulder Arthroplasty with a Minimal Feature Set. *JSES*. 2021.

Schoch, B. et al. Deltoid Fatigue: A Longitudinal Assessment of Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty Over Time. *JSES*. 2021.

Kumar, V. et al. Use of Machine Learning to Assess

Top 124 Select Equinoxe Publications since 2018

May 15, 2026

the Predictive Value of 3 Commonly Used Clinical Measures to Quantify Outcomes After Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *Seminars in Arthroplasty*: JSES. 2021.

Roche, C. et al. Validation of a Machine Learning Derived Clinical Metric to Quantify Outcomes after TSA. *JSES*. 2021 Feb 16:S1058-2746(21)00101-4.

Monir, J. et al. Preoperative factors associated with loss of range of motion after reverse shoulder arthroplasty. *JSES*. 2021 Mar 4:S1058-2746(21)00151-8.

Aibinder, W. et al. Risk Factors for Complications and Revision Surgery after Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *JSES*. 2021 May 5:S1058-2746(21)00409-2.

Eichinger, J. et al. The Effect of BMI on Internal Rotation and Function Following Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *JSES*. 2021 Feb;30(2):265-272.

Parada, S. et al. Comparison of Complication Types and Rates Associated with Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *JSES*. 2021 Apr;30(4):811-818.

Crespo, A. et al. Does Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty for Proximal Humerus Fracture Portend Poorer Outcomes than for Elective Indications? *JSES*. 2021 Jan;30(1):40-50.

Reintgen C. et al. Influence of Thoracic Kyphosis on Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Outcomes. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2021 Oct 1;29(19):840-847.

Flurin, PH. et al. Comparison of survivorship and performance of a platform shoulder system in anatomic and reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. *JSES Int*. 2020 Jul 27;4(4):923-928.

Kuhlmann, N. et al. Acute versus delayed reverse total shoulder arthroplasty for proximal humerus fractures in the elderly: Mid-term outcomes. *Seminars in Arthroplasty*: JSES. 2020.

Parsons, M. et al. Preoperative External Rotation Deficit Does Not Predict Poor Outcomes or Lack of Improvement After Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. *J Orthop*. 2020 Aug 22;21:379-383.

Monir, J. et al. Reverse shoulder arthroplasty in patients younger than 65 years, minimum 5-year follow-up. *JSES*. 2020 Jun;29(6):e215-e221.

Sprowls GR. et al. Intraoperative navigation and preoperative templating software are associated with increased glenoid baseplate screw length and use of augmented baseplates in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty. *JSES Int*. 2020 Oct 31;5(1):102-108.

Schoch, B. et al. Anatomic versus Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty: A Mid-to-long-term Follow-up Comparison. *Shoulder and Elbow*. 2020.

Parsons, M. et al. Assessment of Surgeon Variability in Preoperative Planning of Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty A Quantitative Comparison of 49 Cases Planned by 9 Surgeons. *JSES*. 2020.

Schoch, B. et al. Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty After Healed Rotator Cuff Repair: A Matched Cohort. *JSES*. 2020 Nov;29(11):2221-2228.

Jones, R. et al. Accuracy and Precision of Placement of the Glenoid Baseplate in Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Using a Novel Computer Assisted Navigation System Combined with Preoperative Planning: A controlled cadaveric study. *Seminars in Arthroplasty*: JSES. 2020.

Aibinder, W. et al. Kinematics and Biomechanics of Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. Book Chapter. *AAOS Orthopaedic Knowledge Update*. #5. 2020.

Liuzza, L. et al. Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty with a Superior Augmented Glenoid Component for Favard Type-E1, E2, and E3 Glenoids. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2020 Nov 4;102(21):1865-1873.

Routman, H. et al. Outcomes of and Risk Factors for Acromial or Scapular Fractures after Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty With a Medialized Glenoid/ Lateralized Humeral Implant. *J Bone Joint Surg Am*. 2020 Oct 7;102(19):1724-1733.

Schoch, B. et al. Computer Navigation Leads to More Accurate Glenoid Targeting During Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Compared to 3D Preoperative Planning Alone. *JSES*. 2020 Nov;29(11):2257-2263.

Rosenthal, Y. et al. The impact of preoperative three dimensional planning and intraoperative navigation of shoulder arthroplasty on implant selection and operative time. *JSES*. 2020 Dec;29(12):2564-2570.

Top 124 Select Equinoxe Publications since 2018

May 15, 2026

Kumar, V. et al. What Is the Accuracy of Three Different Machine Learning Techniques to Predict Clinical Outcomes After Shoulder Arthroplasty? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2020 Oct;478(10):2351-2363.

Verstraete, M. et al. Assessment of Intraoperative Joint Loads and Mobility in Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty through a Humeral Trial Sensor. Seminars in Arthroplasty: JSES. 2020.

Grey, S. et al. Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes with a Posteriorly Augmented Glenoid for Walch B Glenoids in Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES. 2020 May;29(5):e185-e195.

Carofino, B. et al. The Influence of Pre-operative External Rotation Weakness or Stiffness on Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES Int. 2020 May 6;4(2):382-387.

Parsons, M. et al. Assessment of Surgeon Variability in Preoperative Planning of Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty A Quantitative Comparison of 49 Cases Planned by 9 Surgeons. JSES. 2020 Oct;29(10):2080-2088.

Schoch, B. et al. Optimal Glenosphere Size Cannot be Determined by Patient Height. JSES. 2020 Feb;29(2):258-265.

Virk, M. et al. Clinical and Radiographic Outcomes with a Posteriorly Augmented Glenoid For Walch B2, B3, and C Glenoids in Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES. 2020 May;29(5):e196-e204.

Flynn, L. et al. Anatomical and Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty Utilizing a Single Implant System with a Platform Stem: A Prospective Observational Study with Mid-term Follow Up. Shoulder Elbow. 2020 Oct;12(5):330-337.

Langohr, D. et al. The effect of short-stem humeral component sizing on humeral bone stress. JSES. 2020 Apr;29(4):761-767.

Wang, A. et al. Computer Navigation of the Glenoid Component in Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: a clinical trial to evaluate the learning curve. JSES. 2020 Mar;29(3):617-623.

Sabesan, V. et al. The role of greater tuberosity healing in reverse shoulder arthroplasty: a finite element analysis. JSES. 2020 Feb;29(2):347-354.

Schoch, B. et al. The Effect of Radial Mismatch on Radiographic Glenoid Loosening. JSES Open Access. 2019 Nov 18;3(4):287-291.

Friedman, R. et al. Preoperative Parameters That

Predict Postoperative Patient Reported Outcome Measures and Range of Motion with Anatomic and Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES Open Access. 2019 Nov 18;3(4):266-272.

Schoch, B. et al. Defining the tipping point for primary shoulder arthroplasty. JSES Open Access. 2019 Nov 18;3(4):273-277.

Priddy, M. et al. Early results of augmented anatomic glenoid components. JSES. 2019 Jun;28(6S):S138-S145.

Okoroha, KR. et al. Early Outcomes of Shoulder Arthroplasty According to Gender. JSES Open Access. 2019 Mar 16;3(1):43-47.

Schoch, B. et al. Glenoid Component Lucencies are Associated with Poorer Patient-Reported Outcomes Following Anatomic Shoulder. JSES. 2019 Oct;28(10):1956-1963.

Simovitch, R. et al. Impact of Scapular Notching on Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty Mid-Term Outcomes – 5 Year Minimum Follow-up. JSES. 2019 Dec;28(12):2301-2307.

Friedman, R. et al. Clinical and Radiographic Comparison of a Hybrid Cage Glenoid to a Cemented Polyethylene Glenoid in Anatomic Total Shoulder Arthroplasty. JSES. 2019 Dec;28(12):2308-2316.

Nashikkar, PS. et al. Computer navigation recreates planned glenoid placement and reduces correction variability in total shoulder arthroplasty: An in-vivo case control study. JSES. 2019 Dec;28(12):e398-e409.

Parsons, M. et al. Patient-reported Outcomes for Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty – A Comparative Risk Factor Analysis of Improved Versus Unimproved Cases. JSES Open Access. 2019 Sep 13;3(3):174-178.

Nashikkar PS et al. Role of intraoperative navigation in the fixation of the glenoid component in reverse total shoulder arthroplasty: a clinical case-control study. JSES. 2019 Sep;28(9):1685-1691.

Roche, C. et al. Impact of Screw Length and Screw Quantity on rTSA Glenoid Fixation for Two Different Sizes of Glenoid Baseplates. JSES Open Access. 2019 Nov 1;3(4):296-303.

Simovitch, R. et al. Rate and Effect of Tuberosity Healing on Clinical Outcomes in Elderly Patients Treated with a Fracture Specific Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty for Three and Four Part Proximal

Top 124 Select Equinoxe Publications since 2018

May 15, 2026

Humerus Fractures. J Orthop Trauma. 2019 Feb;33(2):e39-e45.

Flurin, PH. Reverse Total Shoulder Arthroplasty With the Use of Navigation. AAOS Orthopedic Video Theater. 2019.

Simovitch, R. et al. Quantifying Success after Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: the minimal clinically important difference. JSES. 2018 Feb;27(2):298-305.

Simovitch, R. et al. Quantifying Success after Total Shoulder Arthroplasty: the Substantial Clinical Benefit. JSES. 2018 May;27(5):903-911.

Friedman, R. et al. Are age and patient gender associated with different rates and magnitudes of clinical improvement after Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty? Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2018 Jun;476(6):1264-1273.

Michael RJ. et al. Managing Glenoid Bone Deficiency - The Augment Experience in Anatomic and Reverse Shoulder Arthroplasty. Am J Orthop (Belle Mead NJ). 2018 Mar;47(3).